Low-Fat Diet on Global Health Outcomes—A Two-Stage Difference-in-Difference

Don Lim

May 2, 2022

Abstract

This paper examines the effects of the low-fat diet promoted in a number of countries. The paper examines the following public health outcomes: (1) mean body mass index (age-adjusted), (2) prevalence of adult, children, and adolescent obesity, (3) prevalence of overweight adults, children, and adolescent, (4) incidence of systolic high blood pressure, and (5) incidence of type 2 diabetes. In the US, the low-fat diet was intended to decrease the sharp increase in heart attacks during the 1960's and to promote weight loss. Many countries that implement similar food-based dietary guidelines address the same or similar health outcomes. Using the two-stage difference-in-difference method, comparisons between countries that adopt food-based dietary guidelines and countries that did not will be analyzed. The paper finds there is no conclusive evidence that adopting food-based dietary guidelines improved the analyzed health outcomes.

Word count: 6830

1 Introduction

Worldwide increases in weight-gain and cardiovascular disease (CVD) risk has led many experts and governments searching for dietary recommendations to curb and reverse this trend. National health and dietary guidelines were implemented and promoted. This includes pictorial tools used to promote food-based dietary guidelines (FBDGs), such as: Food Pyramid (United States), Healthy Eating Pyramid (Australia), Balance of Good Health (Great Britain), Food Guide Pagoda (China), and Food Guide (Canada) used to promote certain food groups and their daily quantities consumed. Per the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) of the United Nations, these FBDGs "are intended to establish a basis for public food and nutrition, health and agricultural policies and nutrition education programmes to foster healthy eating habits and lifestyles. They provide advice on foods, food groups and dietary patterns to provide the required nutrients to the general public to promote overall health and prevent chronic diseases."¹

Most countries (89%) recommend reducing fat intake and fewer than half of all countries (44%) have a message on the quality of fats (Herforth et al., 2019). This is based off of the *diet-heart hypothesis*, which stipulates dietary consumption of cholesterol can lead to changes in blood serum cholesterol levels that, in turn, increases the risk of atherosclerosis² and other coronary heart disease events and potentially premature death. On 1968, the Senate created the Select Committee on Nutrition and Human Needs in order to tackle the heart epidemic. On 1977, the Select Committee published a report *Dietary Goals for the United States* (1977) recommending Americans to (1) increase carbohydrate consumption to 55-60 percent of total caloric intake, (2) reduce fat consumption to 40-30 percent of total caloric intake, (3) reduce saturated fat consumption to 10 percent of total caloric intake, and (4) reduce cholesterol consumption to 350-250mg a day. In other words, to maintain a similar of daily caloric intake, Americans were recommended to eat considerably less meat and dairy.

Although the United States has been making dietary recommendations since 1916, when Caroline Hunt authored the first United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) food guide, *Food for Young Children* (Hunt, 1916), it was not until 1990 that the recommendations quantified less than 30 percent of fat for daily caloric intake and not until the Food Pyramid in 1992 that greater attention was put on meat and dairy products. For the first time, the hierarchical imagery of the Food Pyramid conveyed proportionality with the bread, cereal, rice, and pasta group being the base; fruits and vegetables on the next level; fewer portions of meat and dairy; and the least amount of fats, oils, and sweets. In 2005, with the release of MyPyramid, the recommended servings of meat and beans shrunk while fats, oils, and sweets disappeared altogether. With the release MyPlate in 2011, this time meats, beans, fish, nuts,

¹"Food-Based Dietary Guidelines", 2021.

²Atherosclerosis is the buildup of plaque along the arterial walls, which is a disease of the arteries that supply blood to the heart. Accumulation over decades results in calcification, turning arteries stiff. This can result in blood clots that completely block the blood supply to whatever organ that particular artery was supplying

eggs, and poultry disappeared from the graphic and was incorporated into the general protein foods group.³ Similar trends occur for Sweden and Germany. While they implemented dietary guidelines around the middle of the 20th century, it was in the 1980s and 1990s that they recommended decreased fats and meats intake.

Countries use pictorial tools, similar to the US Food Pyramid, to convey the correct proportionality of food groups. In China and South Korea, the Food Pagoda promotes grains as a staple at the bottom and fats and oils at the top. The Philippines and Puerto Rico adopted a pyramid as well. Great Britain, Germany, Mexico, Portugal, and Sweden adopted a plate or circular shape divided into similar proportions of the food groups as the US Food Pyramid. All of the pictorial tools mentioned earlier recommended the most servings in grains, followed by fruits and vegetables, then by meats, dairy, beans, and nuts, and the least of all, fats, oils, and sugar. Other countries, with and without pictorial tools, starting from the 1980s up to today, have pushed for similar recommendations daily serving sizes for each food group.

I will attempt to study the global effects of countries that implement national FBDGs. The health outcomes I will examine are: 1) mean body mass index (BMI) (age-adjusted), (2) prevalence of adult, children, and adolescent obesity, (3) prevalence of overweight adults, children, and adolescent, (4) incidence of systolic high blood pressure (SHBP), and (5) incidence of type 2 diabetes (T2D). These outcomes are either the health outcomes or related health outcomes that countries who implement FBDGs explicitly address. The aim of this paper is to add to body of literature examining whether low-fat diets have had a significant impact on worldwide health outcomes.

The structure of the paper is as follows: I will provide the historic background on the low-fat diet and a literature review on the effects of diet related to weight loss, cholesterol, SHBP, and T2D in Section 2. The method of the paper, two-stage difference-in-difference, will be discussed in Section 3. Why I chose these health outcomes and how I obtained the data will be discussed in Section 4. The results are in Section 5. Problems to solve and potential weaknesses to my paper are looked at in Section 7. The discussion and conclusion are not yet included in this rough draft, since the results may change as countries are added and dropped.

2 Historic Background

The low-fat diet is based off of research by Ancel Keys, a professor of physiology at the University of Minnesota, who studied the diets of six countries: Australia, Canada, England and Wales, Italy, Japan, and the United States. He found that as the percentage of fat in the diet rose, the death rates from heart disease rose proportionally (A. Keys, 1953). Keys later, in 1956, began work on an enormous project

³See: https://www.myplate.gov/eat-healthy/protein-foods "All foods made from seafood; meat, poultry, and eggs; beans, peas, and lentils; and nuts, seeds, and soy products are part of the Protein Foods Group."

examining the relationship between diet and heart-disease risk. This would be the famous Seven Countries study that followed around thirteen thousand middle-aged men in sixteen mostly rural populations in Italy, Yugoslavia, Greece, Finland, the Netherlands, Japan, and the US (A. Keys, 1970). Studying over 12,000 men ages 40-59, Keys found that cigarette smoking, body fatness, and relative bodyweight did not seem to explain differences in coronary heart disease. However, there were tendencies related to serum cholesterol values and saturated fatty acids in the diet with coronary disease. Later, Keys continued to argue for the relationship between saturated fatty acids, dietary cholesterol, and blood serum cholesterol level, hypothesizing that

If saturated fatty acids provide 18% of the calories in the diet, reducing to half that amount, with isocaloric replacement by simple carbohydrate, can be expected to produce an average reduction of some 23 mg/dl in the serum cholesterol. Add the effect of cutting in half the dietary cholesterol and the total effect should be, on the average, more than 30 mg/dl decrease in serum cholesterol. [...] It is enough to agree that a reduction by some 30 mg/dl in serum cholesterol is certainly not negligible. (A. Keys, 1984, p. 358)

It should be noted here that there is a difference between dietary cholesterol and blood serum cholesterol levels. Dietary cholesterol is the main steroid from animal tissues.⁴ Meat, eggs, grain products, and milk were four of the highest sources of dietary cholesterol, contributing a total of 96 percent of the total consumption in the 2013-2014 survey cycle (Xu et al., 2018). Blood serum cholesterol level, on the other hand, consists of "good," or high-density lipoprotein (HDL), and "bad," or low-density lipoprotein (LDL), cholesterol. HDL has two important functions: it promotes reverse cholesterol transport and it modulates inflammation. LDL transports cholesterol to peripheral tissues. According to the diet-heart hypothesis, if LDL blood cholesterol levels are elevated, lipids can be deposited in the arterial lumen leading to plaque formation, which is an prerequisite development of atherosclerosis. Papers focus on either the absolute amounts of HDL and LDL, the sum of HDL and LDL, or the ratio of HDL and LDL cholesterol (DiNicolantonio & O'Keefe, 2017).⁵ Healthy lipids include monounsaturated and polyunsaturated fats. Unhealthy lipids include trans fat. Saturated fats are considered unhealthy or neutral. Population studies have consistently shown that HDL cholesterol levels are inversely proportional to CVD. The literature will be further discussed in Section 2.2.

Early on, there were some studies questioning the relationship between diet and CVD risk. Mann et al. (1964) conducted a field survey of 400 Masai men, women, and children. Despite a lifetime diet of exclusively meat and dairy, there was no evidence for arteriosclerotic heart disease (Mann et al., 1964, p. 311). Even Keys, in 15 year follow-up to the Seven Countries study, writes

⁴Foods with the most dietary cholesterol include: egg yolk, shrimp, beef, pork, poultry, cheese, and butter.

⁵There are additional lipoprotein cholesterols, including very-low-density lipoprotein (VLDL) and intermediate-density lipoprotein (IDL)

in spite of greatly increased awareness of the coronary problem in the past two decades and many surveys showing a substantial rise in the average serum cholesterol associated with a trend toward "westernization" of the diet in Japan, vital statistics mortality from coronary heart disease (CHD) has increased little and is still very low by American and European standards. The 15-year experience of CHD in Japan remains puzzling (A. Keys et al., 1984, p. 153).

In the book *How to Eat Well and Stay Well the Mediterranean Way* (1975) Keys promotes the low-fat diet that he initially called the "Mediterranean diet." The diet, however, did not gain widespread popularity until the 1990s. The Mediterranean diet consists of abundant plant foods (fruits, vegetables, breads, beans, nuts, and seeds), olive oil as a source of fat, dairy products (mainly cheese and yogurt), and fish and poultry consumed in low to moderate amounts, zero to four eggs consumed weekly, and meat and wine consumed in low amounts (Willett et al., 1995). Saturated fats make up around 7-8 percent of total daily energy intake with total fat ranging from 25-35 percent.

2.1 Weight Loss

Weight gain occurs when daily energy (caloric) intake is greater than daily energy expenditure (Buchholz & Schoeller, 2004). Excess caloric intake is stored in the body as lipids.⁶ Weight is maintained when daily energy intake is equal to daily energy expenditure. When daily energy intake is lower than daily expenditure or daily expenditure is higher than daily intake, weight loss occurs. There is, however, an ongoing debate on whether or not the macronutrient proportions in diet can help or hinder weight loss.

Of the few studies that studied various diets for one year and longer, one study showed that a low-fat diet was superior to a moderate-fat diet (Toubro & Astrup, 1997); two showed that a moderate-fat, Mediterranean diet was superior to a low-fat diet (McManus et al., 2001; Shai et al., 2008); and one showed a low-carbohydrate diet was superior to a low-fat diet (Shai et al., 2008). While the low-fat diet has not been conclusively shown to alleviate cardiovascular disease risk and weight gain in these long-term randomized control studies, the US government continues to promote this low-fat, high-carbohydrate diet.⁷

Americans nonetheless complied with the recommendations, shifting their diet characterized by low fiber and high saturated fats and sugars to one with reduced consumption of saturated fats and sugar

⁶Over time, as the accumulation increases, the amount of lipids on the body increases, resulting in being overweight. Overweight is classified as having a BMI of 25 but less than 30. Obesity is classified of having a BMI between 30 and 40. BMI over 40 is considered morbidly obese. BMI is calculated as a ratio of weight to height. Alternative indices of body fat include relative fat mass (RFM), body adiposity index (BAI), waist circumference (WC), and waist-to-hip ratio (WHR). BMI remains the most popular and most accessible measure of body fat (Adab et al., 2018).

⁷The US government links dietary cholesterol and blood serum cholesterol: "Oils are fats that are liquid at room temperature, like vegetable oils used in cooking. They come from many different plants and from fish. Oils are not a food group, but they provide you with important nutrients such as unsaturated fats and vitamin E. Choosing unsaturated fat in place of saturated fat can reduce your risk of heart disease and improve "good" (HDL) cholesterol levels" ("More Key Topics", 2021).

and increasing intake of grains and dietary fiber (Zhou et al., 2010). However, this was followed by a sharp nationwide increase in the prevalence of obesity starting from the mid-1980's and a second rapid increase in the prevalence of diabetes in the late 1990's (Zhou et al., 2010). Worse, despite Americans shifting their diet, data from 1980 to 2005 suggest persons age 20 years and over moved upward from 23 percent obesity rates to 34 percent (*Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity and Extreme Obesity among Adults: United States, Trends* 1976-80 through 2005-2006, 2008). It could be argued that weight gain trends would have been worse if not for the guidelines recommended by the US government. Indeed, there could be endogeneity among countries that adopt FDBGs: governments with countries experiencing worsening CVD risk and increased weight gain would feel pressured to address these outcomes.

In popular writings, Atkins (2002), Fumento (1998), Oliver (2005), Campos (2004), Michaels et al. (2019), and Taubes (2001, 2008, 2011) challenges the efficacy of the low-fat diet to combat cardiovascular disease and weight loss. In the literature, scholars make less exaggerated claims. A growing number of literature has found no significant difference on weight loss in varying the ratio of macronutrients (Astrup et al., 2004; Bradley et al., 2009; Bravata et al., 2003; Brinkworth et al., 2009; Dansinger et al., 2005; Das et al., 2008; de Souza et al., 2012; Foster et al., 2010; Golay et al., 1996; Sacks et al., 2009; Shai et al., 2008).

This is, of course, by no means a comprehensive review of the literature. The conclusion these scholars reached is that restriction in daily caloric intake, no matter emphasis on the macronutrient content, will result in weight loss. That is not to say, however, that in other aspects, such as cholesterol, adherence to the diet, individual preferences for types of foods, and other aspects, are also equal among different diets. For example, McManus et al. (2001) observed greater participation retention among moderate-fat Mediterranean style diet compared to low-fat Mediterranean style diet. Johnston et al. (2006) found ketogenic low-carbohydrate diets had no significant weight loss differences over nonketogenic low-carbohydrate diets, however, they observed several adverse metabolic and emotional effects associated with the ketogenic diet.

2.2 Cholesterol and Lipids

At the same time, while one aspect of the low-fat diet was aimed at promoting weight loss, researchers also looked into the relationship between diet and cholesterol levels. Globally, all countries include starchy staples in their FBDGs, though few are quantitative. Americans, on average, followed the low-fat diet. From 1950 to 1998, US CVD mortality did, in fact, decrease by 53 percent. Nonetheless, the incidence of CVD remained the same (La Berge, 2007). Unlike the research on the relationship between diet and weight loss, most epidemiologic studies and several intervention studies found support that the Mediterranean helps reduce CVD risk (Furtado et al., 2008; Sofi et al., 2010). Though there are scholars and medical doctors that question the relationship between fat consumption and blood serum

cholesterol levels.

The French paradox is frequently brought up when discussing the relationship between saturated fats and CVD (Ferrieres, 2004). One explanation that was suggested concludes ethanol, specifically wine ethanol, is inversely related to CVD but decreases the longevity of the French population (Criqui & Ringel, 1994; Renaud & de Lorgeril, 1992). Keys in an interview agreed with the noncausal relationship between dietary cholesterol and blood-cholesterol levels, but continued to believe in the relationship between saturated fat and blood-cholesterol levels saying,

There's no connection whatsoever between cholesterol in food and cholesterol in blood. None. And we've known that all along. Cholesterol in the diet doesn't matter at all unless you happen to be a chicken or a rabbit. Now we know that both rabbits and chickens are highly sensitive to dietary cholesterol. Their blood-cholesterol levels soar. But all carnivores—not just human beings, but rats and dogs—are not sensitive. It doesn't make a bit of difference how much cholesterol is in the diet. What matters is saturated fat, which we've proved raise serum cholesterol (Jaret, 1997).

In other words, the diet-heart hypothesis now turned into the *saturated fat diet-heart hypothesis*. However, one meta-analysis covering twenty-one studies during a 5-23 year follow-up of over 300,000 subjects found no significant relationship between dietary saturated fats and an increased risk of CVD (Siri-Tarino et al., 2010). Seshadri et al. (2004) found similar beneficial effects on LDL and HDL levels between the low-carbohydrate diet and the conventional diet (a diet consistent with the national recommendation of \leq 30% of calories from fat). Kendrick (2008) and Ravnoskov (2002; 2018; 2016) have written a number of books and studies criticizing the lipid/diet-heart hypothesis. Examining the literature from the 1960s until present, Ravnskov et al. (2018) concludes

The idea that high cholesterol levels in the blood are the main cause of CVD is impossible because people with low levels become just as atherosclerotic as people with high levels and their risk of suffering from CVD is the same or higher (Ravnskov et al., 2018, p. 966)

In an earlier review of 263 studies, Ravnskov et al. (2016) attempts to find a relationship between LDL and mortality, but none were found.

2.3 Systolic High Blood Pressure (SHBP)

SHBP, or hypertension, is characterized when blood pressure within artery walls is consistently too high. Two measurements are used: systolic for when the heart contracts (during beats) and diastolic when the heart relaxes (in-between beats). Hypertension is one of the most important risk factors for CVD. There are two types of hypertension: (1) primary (essential) hypertension has no identifiable

cause but tends to develop gradually over years and (2) secondary hypertension, which is caused by an underlying condition. ⁸ One reason to look at SHBP was mentioned earlier. Elevated LDL levels in the blood can cause constriction in the blood vessels, which could be an early symptom of CVD. However, given the variety of factors that may cause SHBP, the results obtained from this outcome may not be robust.

2.4 Type 2 Diabetes (T2D)

The International Diabetes Federation (IDF) estimates worldwide prevalence of diabetes at 463 million people in 2019, 90 percent of which have T2D ("IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th Edn." 2021). T2D is an impairment in the way the body regulates sugar (glucose) as fuel. Typically, two interrelated symptoms are associated with T2D: (1) production of insulin, a hormone that regulates blood sugar levels, from the pancreas is inhibited and (2) cells respond poorly to insulin and intake less sugar. High blood sugar levels can lead to circulatory, nervous, and immune system disorders. The underlying cause for T2D is not yet known, though the rise of prevalence is hypothesized as a result of environmental and behavioral changes. There is no cure, though symptoms can be managed with insulin, diet, weight loss, and exercise. FBDGs often do not directly address diabetes. However, since diabetes is related to the other health outcomes, including weight, CVD, and SHBP, it seems pertinent to include diabetes as part of the analysis. The low-fat Mediterranean diet may provide some protective mechanisms against diabetes (Georgoulis et al., 2014; Sleiman et al., 2015). There is a growing amount of attention and research dedicated to the recent rise of prevalence of diabetes (Aziz et al., 2015; Saeedi et al., 2019).

3 Data

3.1 Outcome Variables and Covariates

I would have preferred to analyze cardiovascular disease as an outcome, because many FBDGs directly address this outcome. However, the effects of diet on cardiovascular diseases will manifest after a long period, perhaps a few decades, and any changes—if there are changes—in cardiovascular outcomes within the duration of my study will unlikely be due to the federal dietary recommendations. Therefore, I will instead look at the outcomes of shorter manifesting diseases, such as SHBP and T2D, along with obesity and overweightness.

My data on prevalence of obesity and overweight for adults, children, and adolescents, as well as the data on mean BMI are taken from the World Health Organization (WHO) indicators. ⁹ Data on SHBP and

May 2, 2022 Page 7

⁹"Indicators", 2021.

⁸Various conditions can lead to secondary hypertension, including: obstructive sleep apnea, kidney disease, adrenal gland tumors, thyroid problems, congenial birth defects, medication, and illicit drugs.(List of conditions obtained from the Mayo Clinic website ("High Blood Pressure (Hypertension) - Symptoms and Causes", 2021)).

T2D were retrieved from the Global Health Data Exchange (GHDx).¹⁰ BMI, obesity, and overweight are studied over years 1976-2016 and SHBP and T2D are studied over years 1990-2016. The dataset consists of 141 total countries, 73 of which adopted FBDG over this time period.

For the covariates, I have included income taken from the Penn World Tables 10.0¹¹ and females as a percentage of the population from the World Bank. Additional covariates and control variables are required to make my results more robust.

3.2 Identification of the Treated Countries

In order to find the countries that have implemented FBDGs and the respective food groupings and intake recommendations, I used the following sources: (1) Painter et al. (2002), who compared pictorial representations of international food guides, (2) Blake et al. (2018) conducted an international examination of FBDGs, (3) Herforth et al. (2019) wrote a comprehensive global review of FGDBs, (4) the WHO report for the European region (*Comparative Analysis of Food and Nutrition Policies in WHO European Member States*, 2003; *Food-Based Dietary Guidelines in the WHO European Region*, 2003), and lastly, (5) the FAO encourages countries to submit their FBDGs to their online database.¹³ While the FAO website contains the most up-to-date information on FBDGs, past dietary guidelines are not as well documented in terms of food group and quantity recommendation.

My current strict treated group includes: The United States (*USDA's Food Guide Pyramid*, 1992), Australia (Syrett, 2015), Great Britain (Gatenby et al., 1995), China ("Dietary Guidelines and the Food Guide Pagoda", 2000; Ge et al., 2007), Canada (Bush & Kirkpatrick, 2003; "History of Canada's Food Guides from 1942 to 2007", 2019), and South Korea (Lee & Kim, 1998). More work needs to be done sifting through the literature in order to determine the countries with strict recommended dietary fat.

3.3 Strict and Loose Treated Countries

Many countries will group foods and macro-nutrients into the same groupings as the US Food Pyramid but will often differ in quantitative recommendations. Therefore, I will create *strict* and *loose* categories of my treated group. The *strict* treated group will contain countries with FBDGs that quantify 30 percent or less of daily energy intake come from total fat. The *loose* treated group will include all countries that have implemented a FBDG, since as Herforth et al. (2019) found, most FBDGs advise, generally, less fat intake. This will be completed in a future draft of the paper.

```
<sup>10</sup>"GBD Results Tool — GHDx", 2021.
```

¹¹Feenstra et al., 2015.

¹²"Indicators", 2021.

¹³"Food-Based Dietary Guidelines", 2021.

4 Method

The focus of this paper is to determine whether or not government dietary recommendations, along with the mass media and schooling, in promoting the low-fat diet, managed to curb the health outcomes I named earlier. Since the treatment in my treated group is staggered, two-way fixed effects (TWFEs) should not be used. Given the emerging literature on the problems with difference-in-difference, using early treated countries as controls for the late treated countries would result in biased estimands (Baker et al., 2021; Bertrand et al., 2004; Callaway & Sant'Anna, 2021; Goodman-Bacon, 2021). Specifying TWFEs as:

$$Y_{gpit} = \lambda_g + \gamma_p + \beta D_{gp} + \epsilon_{gpit}$$

assumes a conditional expectation function that is linear in group, time period, and treatment status. Here, Y_{gpit} are the health outcomes variables (obesity, overweight, SHBP, T2D), λ_g is the group(country) fixed effect, γ_p is the time (year) fixed effect, and D_{gp} is the treatment (adopting a FBDG). However, if the model is misspecified—and we do not know beforehand the functional form of these relationships—it will attribute some heterogeneity impacts of the treatment of the treatment to group and period fixed effects.

The longer the treatment (that is, D_{gp} is longer), the more the group's treatment effect will be absorbed by the group fixed effects. In other words, TFWE will not recover $E(\beta_{gp}|D_{gp}=1)$. Nonetheless, I will include estimates from TWFEs. Countries that implement FBDGs should have treatment effects build up over time as more of the population learns of the guidelines, create dietary habits, and shift their lifestyles.

Included in each difference-in-difference is the Goodman-Bacon decomposition in order to determine if the comparisons between the early treated countries and the later treated countries are not weighted biasedly. For the Goodman-Bacon decomposition, later vs earlier treated are the biased comparisons and the earlier vs later treated are the correct comparisons (Goodman-Bacon, 2021). As a result, I will use the two-stage differences-in-differences (2SDID) method formulated by Gardner (2021).

4.1 The Two-Stage Approach

In studies with staggered treatment, later treated units should not be compared with earlier treated units. However, as long as there are untreated and treated observations for each group and period, we can control for group and time fixed effects. Mis-specified difference-in-difference models will attribute heterogeneous treatment effects onto group and time fixed effects rather than the true treatment effect (Gardner, 2021). The 2SDID procedure outlined by Gardner (2021) is as follows:

1. Estimate the model

$$Y_{gpit} = \lambda_g + \gamma_p + X'_{gpit}\delta_{pt} + \epsilon_{gpit}$$

on the sample of observations for which $D_{gp}=0$, retaining the estimated group and time effects $\hat{\lambda}_g$ and $\hat{\gamma}_p$ and including interactions between covariates (time-varying or not) $X'_{gpit}\delta_{pt}$.

2. Regress adjusted outcomes $Y_{gpit} - \hat{\lambda}_g - \hat{\gamma}_p$ on $D_{gp} = 0$. Since parallel trends implies that

$$E(Y_{gpit}|g, p, D_{gp}) - \lambda_g - \gamma_p = \beta_{gp}D_{gp} = E(\beta_{gp}|D_{gp} = 1)D_{gp} + [\beta_{gp} - E(\beta_{gp}|D_{gp} = 1)]D_{gp}$$

where $E\{[\beta_{gp} - E(\beta_{gp}|D_{gp}=1)]D_{gp}|D_{gp}\}=0$. This procedure identifies $E(\beta_{gp}|D_{gp}=1)$, even when the adoption and average effects of the treatment are heterogeneous for groups and periods.¹⁴

However, the standard errors are wrong on the second stage because the dependent variable uses estimates obtained in the first stage. The asymptotic distribution of the second stage can be obtained by interpreting the two-stage procedure as a joint generalized methods of moments (GMM). However, I alternatively obtain the correct standard errors with bootstrapping. With this approach, once the country adopts an FBDG, it will be permanently removed from the untreated group. Therefore, early treated countries will not be used as a comparison for later treated countries, which could potentially cause biased estimands and even the wrong coefficient sign in the average treatment effect on the treated (Goodman-Bacon, 2021). In my paper, as soon as a country implements a FBDG, the country will be taken out of the untreated group and will not be replaced.

Given that in my study, the adoption of treatment is staggered across time and the average effects of the treatment may vary by group and period, the usual difference-in-difference method is not suitable. The two-stage approach, under parallel trends, provides the average treatment effects after removing group and period effects. The procedure, as Gardner (2021) identified provides robust estimands in the presence of heterogeneous staggered treatments.

5 Results

In the study, for prevalence of obesity and overweight, we see a significant increase in prevalence of obesity and overweightness for countries who adopted FBDGs (obesity children: 1.441, obesity adolescents: 1.047, obesity adults: 1.217; overweight children: 1.664, overweight adolescents: 1.333, overweight adults: 0.6792). Prevalence of T2D also saw a significant increase (447.5). For mean BMI, the

 $^{^{14}}$ I can adjust outcomes in the second stage according to the last pre-treatment realization X_{gpit}^*/δ_{pt} or by controlling for X_{gpit}^* via conventional propensity-score reweighting methods (or a doubly combination of methods), though this remains more parametric than their inverse-probability-weighting approach (Gardner, 2021).

results were not as significant, though mean BMI did slightly increase for children (0.0301) and adolescents (0.0289), while mean BMI decreased for adults both in crude measures (-0.0850) and age-adjusted (-0.2199). The rate of SHBP also saw a somewhat significant decrease (-0.3864). See Table 3 for the results. Countries that adopt FBDGs, from these results, experience more weight gain, greater prevalence of obesity and overweight, and T2D.

Outcomes	Years	Observations	2SDID Estimates
Mean BMI			
Children	1976-2016	5,781	0.0301* (0.0117)
Adolescents	1976-2016	5,781	0.0289* (0.0119)
Adults	1976-2016	5,781	-0.0850*** (0.0183)
Adults (age-adjusted)	1976-2016	5,781	-0.2199*** (0.0193)
Obesity			
Children	1976-2016	5,781	1.441*** (0.0862)
Adolescents	1976-2016	5,781	1.047*** (0.0632)
Adults	1976-2016	5,781	1.217*** (0.0910)
Overweight			
Children	1976-2016	5,781	1.664*** (0.1477)
Adolescents	1976-2016	5,781	1.333*** (0.1219)
Adults	1976-2016	5,781	0.6792*** (0.0905)
Type 2 diabetes	1990-2016	3,456	447.5*** (33.36)
High blood pressure	1990-2016	3,456	-0.3864* (0.1913)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

While most of the estimates are significant, the magnitude of the estimands are relatively quite low—save for T2D. Given that these values are measured in prevalence (or percentages), around a one percent increase in obesity prevalence may not be fully attributed to the adoption of FBDGs. As for the results obtained on T2D, as mentioned earlier, the cause of T2D is not yet known. Given the sharp increase in the prevalence of T2D, these results are most likely driven by a group of countries or caused by some correlated but omitted factor in my analysis.

In the appendix, tables 4-29 are the complete breakdown of Goodman-Bacon decompositions, TWFEs, and 2SDID estimates for each respective health outcome.

5.1 Other Potential Covariates

Rather than controlling for dietary and life choices that impact health, I should control for economic factors associated with dietary choices. As such, I should examine following covariates for health outcomes: median age, percent male, percent black, percent Hispanic, and possibly a measure of unemployment. However, the data on median age would force me to drop too many years or too many countries. Additionally, I was unable to racial and ethnic data over the duration of my study. To capture a measure of healthcare capacity, I could use health insurance coverage, physicians per capita, and surgical measures (to measure sophistication). Health spending as a percent share of GDP may be fine,

but many countries price cap certain aspects of their healthcare sector. Again, however, I was unable to find data commensurable with the period of my study.

6 Problems to Solve and Possible Limitations

6.1 Stable Unit Treatment Value Assumption (SUTVA)

The US and Great Britain exhibit more cultural, economic, and scientific influence, spillover effects can occur and populations where their government may not explicitly recommend dietary guidelines, may nonetheless follow a diet similar to the one suggested by the United States. This is not spillover. However, once the US adopts dietary guidelines, other individuals in other countries may follow these the US guidelines, even when their own respective countries have not yet adopted these guidelines. International committees and institutes consisting of joint research, such as the FAO and WHO, will influence similar macronutrient recommendations. Much research is led by US researchers and done in English. Another potential occurrence of spillovers are countries and regions with close relations, such as the Nordic countries adopting the Nordic Nutritional Recommendations in 1980 (before the adoption of FBDGs), Canada and the US, Belgium and Luxembourg, ¹⁵ and there are other joint efforts between Latin American countries and between African countries. It is also unreasonable to assume that countries that adopted FBDGs late did not change their diets beforehand, such as Afghanistan (2016), Kenya (2017), United Arab Emirates (2019), Peru (2019), Ecuador (2018), Cambodia (2017), and Sierra Leone (2016). As a result, my analysis is potentially more likely to underestimate the true effect of FBDGs, since non-treated individuals are potentially more likely to change their diet in adherence with the US and other more influential country's FBDGs.

6.2 Anticipation Effect

Before FBDGs are officially adopted by countries, most countries create new committees and research institutes in order to fund studies on diet and health. The results of these studies are often known years before the respective FBDG in each country is officially published. In addition, related to the SUTVA assumption, countries that have conducted researched earlier will also indirectly affect the diets other countries.

6.3 Outcomes Affecting Treatment

Mentioned earlier in the paper, countries that experience worse health outcomes, such as CVD risk and mortality, diabetes, and obesity, could feel pressured to enact FBDGs. This would violate one of

¹⁵Belgium adopted their FBDG in 2005, but Luxembourg never officially adopted one.

the assumptions for difference-in-difference, outcomes affecting treatment. One way to test if this is the case, which is planned for future improvements of this paper, is to see if adoption of FBDG is spurred by increasing cases of the health outcomes I examine.

6.4 Differences in Implementation

Diet fads are notorious for becoming quickly and widely adopted by a new celebrity promotion or popular magazine. These fads vary between country to country, which will affect if I may underestimate or overestimate the effect of the FBDGs. There are also potential spillover effects for both diet fads and FBDGs. Culture and traditional diets may change how many individuals follow the dietary recommendations.

Differences in advertisements and advertising laws may distort the population's desire to follow the recommended diet. For example, Keller and Schulz (2011) found evidence that advertisements on candy, fast food, and other products high in fats and sugars distorted nutritional diet in children in Switzerland. Different countries have different laws on advertisements targeting children. On the other hand, countries that adopt a FBDG may promote or implement in varying methods and degrees. And even if countries do promote FBDGs strongly, different citizenry may have different priorities or worries other than their weight or CVD risk. Varying proportions of children in each country may consume school lunches provided by public schools. Public schools in each country would also differ in how well these lunches adhere to their national FBDGs. Lastly, some countries create FBDGs targeting subgroups, such as infants under age two, pregnant women, children, and adults. As a result, the entire population may not uniformly adopt these national dietary recommendations.

Diet and fitness are also correlated with each other and affect the health outcomes of my study. Guthold et al. (2018) found that globally more than a quarter of adults were insufficiently physically active. Guthold et al. (2018) also found that differences in income levels results in differences in the prevalence of insufficient physical activity. Therefore, countries with populations that rapidly change physical activity levels or experience rapid changes in economic growth or decline may affect exercise and the outcomes I am measuring.

Meat and dairy producers may also attempt to lobby and influence federal dietary recommendations (Nestle, 1993). They may fund research or run advertisements that influence the population's dietary choices. This could directly or indirectly influence FBDGs and the diets of the population. On the other side, there are individuals who ignore federal dietary recommendations and would be more likely to ignore other health advice, such as on smoking and exercising. Lastly, mentioned earlier, adopting FBDGs may be affected by the outcomes measured in this study. Countries with higher mean BMI and CVD risk may seek to adopt FBDGs.

7 Conclusion

With the limitations noted above, it may be the case that, ultimately, the parallel trends and SUTVA assumptions do not hold. Additional tests and econometrical techniques are required in order to extract a causal relationship between FBDGs and the health outcomes examined in this paper. Despite the lack of consensus among the proportion of macronutrient to facilitate weight loss and to prevent CVD risk, nearly every country encourages a lower fat diet and recommends starchy carbohydrates as a dietary staple. This paper has shown, with significant results, that the lower fat diet most FBDGs recommend has not promoted overall health and prevented chronic diseases. Although I found these significant results, I have not believe I have included enough covariates, due to country and period limitations. Additionally, there are other health outcomes and chronic that may be more relevant to overall health and that the low-fat diet most FBDGs helps prevent.

Despite the variety of diets that exists today and existed in the past, additional research is necessary to determine if the low-fat diet does indeed promote overall health—and given the range individual sensitivity to certain diets and food preferences, it is questionable to recommend one type of diet across the entire population. For most part, the nutritional literature finds that so long as individuals adhere to the caloric guideline, weight loss or maintenance will occur, regardless of the macronutrient composition. In other words, calories in calories out (Buchholz & Schoeller, 2004). However, most FBDGs recommend specific food group intake but do not make any recommendations on caloric limits.

In order to address the some of the issues of the paper, I could conduct an event study on the pretreatment effect to analyze if anticipation is a problem. Pretreatment effects could also tell us something about whether the countries were on similar time paths before treatment, though it is not a test of the parallel trends assumption. As the for the outcomes affecting treatment problem, I could see if adoption was spurred on by increasing cases of bad outcomes compared to countries that did not adopt a FBDG. Finally, two methods other could be used that may be more be more robust: 1) synthetic control and 2) matching. These methods would create valid counterfactuals for countries that did adopt FBDGs.

Further research could be done in the area of political economy. There could be some relationship with the food groups recommended and the amount of money used to lobby the government. In the case of the U.S., the recommendations from the Food Guide Pyramid (1992-2005) to MyPyramid (2005-2013) to MyPlate (2013-present) has consistently recommended dairy as a separate food group, though around 36 percent of the population have some form of lactose malabsorption.¹⁶

¹⁶See "Definition & Facts for Lactose Intolerance — NIDDK" (2018)

Appendices

A Tables

Table 1: FBDG Countries

Country	Food based distant outdeline title	Voor muhlished
Country Albania	Food based dietary guideline title	Year published
Australia	Recommendations on healthy nutrition in Albania	2008
	Healthy Eating Pyramid	1980
Austria	The Austrian food pyramid - 7 steps to health	2005
Bangladesh	Dietary Guidelines for Bangladesh	2000
Belgium	Practical guidelines for healthy eating	2005
Benin	Benin's Dietary Guidelines	2015
Bulgaria	Food based dietary guidelines for adults in Bulgaria	2006
Canada	Canada's food guide	1992
China	Dietary guidelines for Chinese residents	1997
Cyprus	National nutrition and exercise guidelines	2007
Denmark	The Official Dietary Guidelines - good for health and climate	1995
Fiji	Food and health guidelines for Fiji.	1987
Finland	Food Circle	1987
France	The French National Nutrition and Health Program's dietary	2002
	guidelines	
Germany	Ten guidelines of the German Nutrition Society for a wholesome	2005
	diet	
Great Britain	Eatwell Guide	1994
Greece	National Nutrition Guide for Greek Adults	1999
Hungary	Dietary guidelines for the adult population in Hungary	1987
Iceland	Food-based dietary guidelines for adults and children from two	2006
	years of age	
India	Dietary Guidelines for Indians	1998
Indonesia	Balanced Nutrition Guidelines	1995
Iran	Food-based dietary guidelines for Iran	1990
Ireland	Healthy Food for Life – the Healthy Eating Guidelines	2012
Israel	The Israeli food pyramid	1998
Italy	Dietary Guidelines for Healthy Eating– Revision 2018	1986
Japan	Dietary guidelines for Japanese	2000
Lebanon	The Food-Based Dietary Guideline Manual for Promoting	2013
	Healthy Eating in the Lebanese Adult Population	
Malaysia	Malaysian Dietary Guidelines	1999
Malta	The healthy plate: Dietary guidelines for Maltese adults.	1986
Mongolia	Dietary guidelines for Mongolians	1990
Namibia	Food and nutrition guidelines for Namibia	2000
Nepal	Food-based dietary guidelines - Nepal	2004
Netherlands	Food-based dietary guidelines for the Netherlands	1981
Nigeria	Food-based dietary guidelines for Nigeria - a guide to healthy	2001
	eating	
Norway	Norwegian guidelines on diet, nutrition and physical activity	2014
Oman	The Omani guide to healthy eating	2009
Philippines	Nutritional guidelines for Filipinos	1990
Poland	Principles of healthy eating	2009
Portugal	Food Wheel Guide	1977
Romania	Guidelines for a healthy diet	2006
Saudi Arabia	Dietary guidelines for Saudis: the healthy food palm	2013
South Africa	Food-Based Dietary Guidelines for South Africans	2003
South Korea	General Dietary Guidelines for Koreans	1991
Spain	Eat healthy and move: 12 healthy decisions	2005
Sri Lanka	Food-based dietary guidelines for Sri Lankans	2002
	• ~	

CountryFBDG NameYear StartSwedenFind your way to eat greener, not too much and be active!1989SwitzerlandSwiss Food Pyramid1998ThailandFood-based dietary guidelines for Thai1986TurkeyDietary guidelines for Turkey2004United StatesFood Pyramid1992Vietnam10 tips on proper nutrition for period 2013-20201995UruguayDietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, shared and enjoyable diet2000ArgentinaDietary guidelines for the Argentinian population2000BahamasThe new dietary guidelines for Barbados2002BarbadosFood-based dietary guidelines for Barbados2009BelizeFood-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population2013BrazilDietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 20142006ChileDietary Guidelines for the Chilean population 20142006ChileDietary guidelines for Costa Rica1997Costa RicaDietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age2009Dominican RepublicThe mortar of food and nutrition2009El SalvadorDietary guidelines for Salvadorian families2001GrenadaFood-based dietary guidelines for Grenada2006GuatemalaDietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating1996GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating
Switzerland Swiss Food Pyramid 1998 Thailand Food-based dietary guidelines for Thai 1986 Turkey Dietary guidelines for Turkey 2004 United States Food Pyramid 1992 Vietnam 10 tips on proper nutrition for period 2013-2020 1992 Uruguay Dietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, 2005 shared and enjoyable diet Argentina Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating 2015
Thailand Food-based dietary guidelines for Thai 1986 Turkey Dietary guidelines for Turkey 2004 United States Food Pyramid 1992 Vietnam 10 tips on proper nutrition for period 2013-2020 1995 Uruguay Dietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, shared and enjoyable diet Argentina Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Turkey Dietary guidelines for Turkey 2004 United States Food Pyramid 1992 Vietnam 10 tips on proper nutrition for period 2013-2020 1995 Uruguay Dietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, and and enjoyable diet 5000-2000 Bahamas Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating 2015
United States Food Pyramid 1992 Vietnam 10 tips on proper nutrition for period 2013-2020 1995 Uruguay Dietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, shared and enjoyable diet Argentina Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Vietnam10 tips on proper nutrition for period 2013-20201995UruguayDietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, shared and enjoyable diet2005ArgentinaDietary guidelines for the Argentinian population2000BahamasThe new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas2002BarbadosFood-based dietary guidelines for Barbados2009BelizeFood-based dietary guidelines for Belize2012BoliviaFood-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population2013BrazilDietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 20142006ChileDietary guidelines for the Chilean population1997Costa RicaDietary guidelines for Costa Rica1997CubaDietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age2000Dominican RepublicThe mortar of food and nutrition2009El SalvadorDietary guidelines for Salvadorian families2001GrenadaFood-based dietary guidelines for Grenada2006GuatemalaDietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating1996GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Guyana2004HondurasDietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-2015
Uruguay Dietary guidelines for the Uruguayan population: for a healthy, shared and enjoyable diet Argentina Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Bolivian population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating - Ac- 2015
shared and enjoyable diet Argentina Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 2014 2006 Cuba Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating 2015
Argentina Dietary guidelines for the Argentinian population 2000 Bahamas The new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas 2002 Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
BahamasThe new dietary guidelines for the Bahamas2002BarbadosFood-based dietary guidelines for Barbados2009BelizeFood-based dietary guidelines for Belize2012BoliviaFood-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population2013BrazilDietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 20142006ChileDietary guidelines for the Chilean population1997Costa RicaDietary guidelines for Costa Rica1997CubaDietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age2000Dominican RepublicThe mortar of food and nutrition2009El SalvadorDietary guidelines for Salvadorian families2001GrenadaFood-based dietary guidelines for Grenada2006GuatemalaDietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating1996GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Guyana2004HondurasDietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-2015
Barbados Food-based dietary guidelines for Barbados 2009 Belize Food-based dietary guidelines for Belize 2012 Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating - Ac-
BelizeFood-based dietary guidelines for Belize2012BoliviaFood-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population2013BrazilDietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 20142006ChileDietary guidelines for the Chilean population1997Costa RicaDietary guidelines for Costa Rica1997CubaDietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age2000Dominican RepublicThe mortar of food and nutrition2009El SalvadorDietary guidelines for Salvadorian families2001GrenadaFood-based dietary guidelines for Grenada2006GuatemalaDietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating1996GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Guyana2004HondurasDietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-2015
Bolivia Food-based dietary guidelines for the Bolivian population 2013 Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Brazil Dietary Guidelines for the Brazilian Population 2014 2006 Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Chile Dietary guidelines for the Chilean population 1997 Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Costa Rica Dietary guidelines for Costa Rica 1997 Cuba Dietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
CubaDietary guidelines for the Cuban population over two years of age2000Dominican RepublicThe mortar of food and nutrition2009El SalvadorDietary guidelines for Salvadorian families2001GrenadaFood-based dietary guidelines for Grenada2006GuatemalaDietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating1996GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Guyana2004HondurasDietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-2015
age Dominican Republic The mortar of food and nutrition 2009 El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Dominican RepublicThe mortar of food and nutrition2009El SalvadorDietary guidelines for Salvadorian families2001GrenadaFood-based dietary guidelines for Grenada2006GuatemalaDietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating1996GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Guyana2004HondurasDietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-2015
El Salvador Dietary guidelines for Salvadorian families 2001 Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Grenada Food-based dietary guidelines for Grenada 2006 Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
Guatemala Dietary guidelines for Guatemala. Recommendations for healthy eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac- 2015
eating Guyana Food-based dietary guidelines for Guyana 2004 Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac- 2015
GuyanaFood-based dietary guidelines for Guyana2004HondurasDietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating2011JamaicaFood-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-2015
Honduras Dietary guidelines for Honduras. Tips for healthy eating 2011 Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac- 2015
Jamaica Food-based dietary guidelines for Jamaica: Healthy eating - Ac-
tivo living
tive niving
Mexico Dietary and physical activity guidelines in the context of over-
weight and obesity in the Mexican population
Panama Dietary guidelines for Panama 1997
Paraguay Dietary guidelines of Paraguay 2003
Saint Lucia Dietary guidelines for Saint Lucia 2007
Venezuela Dietary guidelines for Venezuela 1991

Table 2: Summary Statistics (1976-2016)

treatment		No			Yes		
Variable	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	Test
High blood pressure	1674	24.614	6.099	2133	26.614	8.456	F=66.521***
Prevalence of diabetes	1674	2896.2	2192.227	2133	4476.383	2302.407	F=460.712***
Incidence of diabetes	1674	188.73	140.39	2133	245.786	112.007	F=194.529***
Mean BMI	2542	22.872	2.368	3239	24.538	2.086	F=806.235***
Prevalence of adult obesity	2542	7.958	7.446	3239	13.008	7.497	F=650***
Prevalence of overweight adults	2542	26.945	15.338	3239	41.115	15.367	F=1213.035***
Prevalence of childhood obesity	2542	3.349	4.485	3239	6.503	4.832	F=646.1***
Prevalence of overweight children	2542	9.836	9.1	3239	18.454	10.459	F=1082.655***
Prevalence of obese adolescents	2542	2.182	3.403	3239	4.18	3.457	F=482.401***
Prevalence of overweight adolescents	2542	8.767	8.066	3239	16.142	9.136	F=1027.614***
Median age	496	20.083	4.907	632	27.943	7.718	F=390.535***
Percent of female population	2542	49.662	3.078	3239	50.034	2.586	F=24.961***
Rate of physicians per 1000	936	0.617	0.728	1847	1.955	1.209	F=967.689***
Unemployment rate	1560	7.369	6.554	2028	7.683	5.319	F=2.506
GPD per capita	2368	4491.92	11684.43	3108	11274.18	14960.36	F=332.257***
Income	2542	9561	19395.71	3239	17536.36	19436.21	F=240.247***
Statistical significance markers: * p<0.1	l;** p<0	0.05; *** p<	< 0.01				

Table 3: Results

Outcomes	Years	Observations	2SDID Estimates
Mean BMI			
Children	1976-2016	5,781	0.0301* (0.0117)
Adolescents	1976-2016	5 <i>,</i> 781	0.0289* (0.0119)
Adults	1976-2016	5,781	-0.0850*** (0.0183)
Adults (age-adjusted)	1976-2016	5,781	-0.2199*** (0.0193)
Obesity			
Children	1976-2016	5,781	1.441*** (0.0862)
Adolescents	1976-2016	5,781	1.047*** (0.0632)
Adults	1976-2016	5,781	1.217*** (0.0910)
Overweight			
Children	1976-2016	5,781	1.664*** (0.1477)
Adolescents	1976-2016	5 <i>,</i> 781	1.333*** (0.1219)
Adults	1976-2016	5,781	0.6792*** (0.0905)
Type 2 diabetes	1990-2016	3,456	447.5*** (33.36)
High blood pressure	1990-2016	3,456	-0.3864* (0.1913)

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 4: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (BMI age adjusted)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later	0.19176	-0.15877
Later vs Earlier	0.12010	0.23310
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	-0.19226

Table 5: TWFE (BMI age adjusted)

	Dependent variable:
	Mean BMI (age-adjusted)
treat	-0.135^{***}
	(0.017)
Observations	5,781
\mathbb{R}^2	0.011
Adjusted R ²	-0.021
F Statistic	61.734*** (df = 1; 5599)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 6: Static Treatment (BMI age-adjusted)

Dependent Variable:	mean.Mean BMI (age-adjusted)
treat = TRUE	-0.2199*** (0.0204)
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)
Observations	5,781
R^2	0.05381
Adj. R ²	0.05381

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 7: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (obese children)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later Treated	0.19176	-0.34571
Later vs Earlier Treated	0.12010	0.12446
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	1.80501

Table 8: TWFE (obese children)

-	Dependent variable:		
	Obese children		
post	1.191***		
•	(0.077)		
Observations	5,781		
R^2	0.041		
Adjusted R ²	0.010		
F Statistic	238.929*** (df = 1; 5599)		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 9: Static Treatment (obese children)

Dependent Variable:	obese.Obese children
treat = TRUE	1.441*** (0.0889)
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)
Observations	5,781
R^2	0.11228
Adj. R ²	0.11228

Note:

p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 10: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (obese adolescents)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later Treated	0.19176	-0.25957
Later vs Earlier Treated	0.12010	0.14440
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	1.33747

Table 11: TWFE (obese adolescents)

	Dependent variable:	
	Obese adolescents	
post	0.888***	
•	(0.060)	
Observations	5,781	
\mathbb{R}^2	0.038	
Adjusted R ²	0.007	
F Statistic	221.941*** (df = 1; 5599)	
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01	

Table 12: Static Treatment (obese adolescents)

Dependent Variable:	Obese adolescents
treat = TRUE	1.047*** (0.0596)
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)
Observations	5,781
\mathbb{R}^2	0.10006
Adj. R ²	0.10006

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 13: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (obese adults)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later Treated	0.19176	-0.70310
Later vs Earlier Treated	0.12010	-0.07906
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	1.72410

Table 14: TWFE (obese adults)

	Dependent variable:		
	Obese Adults		
post	1.042***		
•	(0.084)		
Observations	5,781		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.027		
Adjusted R ²	-0.005		
F Statistic	153.537*** (df = 1; 5599)		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 15: Static Treatment (obese adults)

Dependent Variable:	Obese Adults		
treat = TRUE	1.217*** (0.0888)		
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)		
Observations	5,781		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.10006		
Adj. R ²	0.10006		

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 16: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (overweight children)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later Treated	0.19176	-0.77254
Later vs Earlier Treated	0.12010	0.31456
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	2.18306

Table 17: TWFE (overweight children)

	Dependent variable:		
	Overweight children		
treat	1.392***		
	(0.116)		
Observations	5 ,7 81		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.025		
Adjusted R ²	-0.006		
F Statistic	144.875*** (df = 1; 5599)		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 18: Static Treatment (overweight children)

Dependent Variable:	Overweight children		
treat = TRUE	1.664*** (0.1462		
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)		
Observations	5,781		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.06636		
Adj. R ²	0.06636		

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 19: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (overweight adolescents)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later	0.19176	-0.67914
Later vs Earlier	0.12010	0.34623
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	1.79986

Table 20: TWFE (overweight adolescents)

	Dependent variable:		
	Overweight adolescents		
treat	1.150***		
	(0.098)		
Observations	5,781		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.024		
Adjusted R ²	-0.007		
F Statistic	138.601*** (df = 1; 5599)		
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01		

Table 21: Static Treatment (overweight adolescents)

Dependent Variable:	Overweight adolescents		
treat = TRUE	1.333*** (0.1205)		
S.E. type	Bootstrap $(n = 500)$		
Observations	5,781		
R^2	0.06029		
Adj. R ²	0.06029		

Note: *p

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 22: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (overweight adults)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later	0.19176	-0.66512
Later vs Earlier	0.12010	0.06404
Treated vs Untreated	0.68813	0.95571

Table 23: TWFE (overweight adults)

	Dependent variable:
	Overweight adults
treat	0.538***
	(0.086)
Observations	5,781
\mathbb{R}^2	0.007
Adjusted R ²	-0.025
F Statistic	39.226*** (df = 1; 5599)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 24: Static Treatment (overweight adults)

Dependent Variable:	Overweight adults		
treat = TRUE	0.6792*** (0.0950)		
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)		
Observations	5,781		
\mathbb{R}^2	0.02119		
Adj. R ²	0.02119		

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 25: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (diabetes)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later	0.12507	164.5181
Later vs Always Treated	0.12385	-404.3213
Later vs Earlier	0.12228	-166.8900
Treated vs Untreated	0.62879	569.0526

Table 26: TWFE (diabetes)

	Dependent variable:
	Prevalence of diabetes
treat	307.910***
	(41.358)
Observations	3,807
\mathbb{R}^2	0.015
Adjusted R ²	-0.030
F Statistic	55.428*** (df = 1; 3639)
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.05

Table 27: Static Treatment (diabetes)

Dependent Variable:	Diabetes
treat = TRUE	447.5*** (34.43)
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)
Observations	3,456
\mathbb{R}^2	0.08475
Adj. R ²	0.08475

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 28: Goodman-Bacon Decomposition (high blood pressure)

type	weight	avg_est
Earlier vs Later	0.12507	0.06858
Later vs Always Treated	0.12385	2.51916
Later vs Earlier	0.12228	0.79910
Treated vs Untreated	0.62879	0.51414

Table 29: TWFE (high blood pressure)

	Dependent variable:
	High blood pressure
treat	0.742***
	(0.128)
Observations	3,807
\mathbb{R}^2	0.009
Adjusted R ²	-0.036
F Statistic	$33.809^{***} (df = 1; 3639)$
Note:	*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

Table 30: Static Treatment (high blood pressure)

Dependent Variable:	High blood pressure
treat = TRUE	-0.3864* (0.1743)
S.E. type	Bootstrap ($n = 500$)
Observations	3,456
\mathbb{R}^2	0.00402
Adj. R ²	0.00402

Note:

*p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01

References

- Adab, P., Pallan, M., & Whincup, P. H. (2018). Is BMI the best measure of obesity? BMJ, 360, k1274.
- Astrup, A., Larsen, T. M., & Harper, A. (2004). Atkins and other low-carbohydrate diets: Hoax or an effective tool for weight loss? *The Lancet*, 364(9437), 897–899.
- Atkins, R. C. (2002). Dr. Atkins' New Diet Revolution (1st Avon pbk. ed). Avon Books.
- Aziz, Z., Absetz, P., Oldroyd, J., Pronk, N. P., & Oldenburg, B. (2015). A Systematic Review of Real-World Diabetes Prevention Programs: Learnings from the Last 15 Years. *Implementation Science*, 10(1), 172.
- Baker, A., Larcker, D. F., & Wang, C. C. Y. (2021). *How Much Should We Trust Staggered Difference-In-Differences Estimates?* (SSRN Scholarly Paper No. ID 3794018). Social Science Research Network. Rochester, NY.
- Bertrand, M., Duflo, E., & Mullainathan, S. (2004). How Much Should We Trust Differences-In-Differences Estimates?*. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 119(1), 249–275.
- Blake, P., Durão, S., Naude, C. E., & Bero, L. (2018). An Analysis of Methods Used to Synthesize Evidence and Grade Recommendations in Food-Based Dietary Guidelines. *Nutrition Reviews*, 76(4), 290–300.
- Bradley, U., Spence, M., Courtney, C. H., McKinley, M. C., Ennis, C. N., McCance, D. R., McEneny, J., Bell, P. M., Young, I. S., & Hunter, S. J. (2009). Low-Fat Versus Low-Carbohydrate Weight Reduction Diets: Effects on Weight Loss, Insulin Resistance, and Cardiovascular Risk: A Randomized Control Trial. *Diabetes*, 58(12), 2741–2748.
- Bravata, D. M., Sanders, L., Huang, J., Krumholz, H. M., Olkin, I., Gardner, C. D., & Bravata, D. M. (2003). Efficacy and Safety of Low-Carbohydrate Diets: A Systematic Review. *JAMA*, 289(14), 1837.
- Brinkworth, G. D., Noakes, M., Buckley, J. D., Keogh, J. B., & Clifton, P. M. (2009). Long-term effects of a very-low-carbohydrate weight loss diet compared with an isocaloric low-fat diet after 12 mo. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 90(1), 23–32.
- Buchholz, A. C., & Schoeller, D. A. (2004). Is a Calorie a Calorie? *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 79(5), 899S–906S.
- Bush, M., & Kirkpatrick, S. (2003). Setting Dietary Guidance: The Canadian Experience. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 103(12), 22–27.
- Callaway, B., & Sant'Anna, P. H. (2021). Difference-in-Differences with multiple time periods. *Journal of Econometrics*, 225(2), 200–230.
- Campos, P. F. (2004). The Obesity Myth: Why America's Obsession With Weight is Hazardous to Your Health. Gotham Books.

- Comparative analysis of food and nutrition policies in WHO European Member States (E81506). (2003). Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Criqui, M. H., & Ringel, B. L. (1994). Does Diet or Alcohol Explain the French Paradox? *The Lancet*, 344(8939), 1719–1723.
- Dansinger, M. L., Gleason, J. A., Griffith, J. L., Selker, H. P., & Schaefer, E. J. (2005). Comparison of the Atkins, Ornish, Weight Watchers, and Zone Diets for Weight Loss and Heart Disease Risk Reduction: A Randomized Trial. *JAMA*, 293(1), 43.
- Das, S. K., Gilhooly, C. H., Golden, J. K., Pittas, A. G., Fuss, P. J., Dallal, G. E., McCrory, M. A., Saltzman,
 E., & Roberts, S. B. (2008). Long Term Effects of Energy-Restricted Diets Differing in Glycemic
 Load on Metabolic Adaptation and Body Composition*. The Open Nutrition Journal, 2(1), 76–85.
- Definition & Facts for Lactose Intolerance NIDDK. (2018). National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases. Retrieved 2022, from https://www.niddk.nih.gov/health-information/digestive-diseases/lactose-intolerance/definition-facts
- de Souza, R. J., Bray, G. A., Carey, V. J., Hall, K. D., LeBoff, M. S., Loria, C. M., Laranjo, N. M., Sacks, F. M., & Smith, S. R. (2012). Effects of 4 weight-loss diets differing in fat, protein, and carbohydrate on fat mass, lean mass, visceral adipose tissue, and hepatic fat: Results from the POUNDS LOST trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 95(3), 614–625.
- Dietary Goals for the United States (95th Congress, 1st Session). (1977). Select Committee on Nutrition and Human Needs. Washington.
- Dietary Guidelines and the Food Guide Pagoda. (2000). *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 100(8), 886–887.
- DiNicolantonio, J. J., & O'Keefe, J. H. (2017). Good Fats versus Bad Fats: A Comparison of Fatty Acids in the Promotion of Insulin Resistance, Inflammation, and Obesity. *Missouri Medicine*, 114(4), 303–307.
- Feenstra, R. C., Inklaar, R., & Timmer, M. P. (2015). The Next Generation of the Penn World Table.

 American Economic Review, 105(10), 3150–3182.
- Ferrieres, J. (2004). The French paradox: Lessons for other countries. *Heart*, 90(1), 107–111.
- Food-based dietary guidelines. (2021). Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. Retrieved 2021, from http://www.fao.org/nutrition/education/food-dietary-guidelines/home/en/
- Food-based dietary guidelines in the WHO European Region (E79832). (2003). Copenhagen: WHO Regional Office for Europe.
- Foster, G. D., Wyatt, H. R., Hill, J. O., Makris, A. P., Rosenbaum, D. L., Brill, C., Stein, R. I., Mohammed, B. S., Miller, B., Rader, D. J., Zemel, B., Wadden, T. A., Tenhave, T., Newcomb, C. W., & Klein, S. (2010). Weight and Metabolic Outcomes After 2 Years on a Low- Carbohydrate Versus Low-Fat Diet: 34.

- Fumento, M. (1998). The Fat of the Land: The Obesity Epidemic and How Overweight Americans Can Help Themselves (Reprint edition). Penguin Books.
- Furtado, J. D., Campos, H., Appel, L. J., Miller, E. R., Laranjo, N., Carey, V. J., & Sacks, F. M. (2008). Effect of Protein, Unsaturated Fat, and Carbohydrate Intakes on Plasma Apolipoprotein B and Vldl and Ldl Containing Apolipoprotein C-Iii: Results from the Omniheart Trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 87(6), 1623–1630.
- Gardner, J. (2021). Two-stage differences in differences.
- Gatenby, S. J., Hunt, P., & Rayner, M. (1995). The National Food Guide: Development of dietetic criteria and nutritional characteristics. *Journal of Human Nutrition and Dietetics*, 8(5), 323–334.
- GBD Results Tool GHDx. (2021). Global Health Data Exchange; Discover the World's Health Data. Retrieved 2021, from http://ghdx.healthdata.org/gbd-results-tool
- Ge, K., Jia, J., & Liu, H. (2007). Food-Based Dietary Guidelines in China Practices and Problems. *Annals of Nutrition and Metabolism*, 51(2), 26–31.
- Georgoulis, M., Kontogianni, M. D., & Yiannakouris, N. (2014). Mediterranean Diet and Diabetes: Prevention and Treatment. *Nutrients*, 6(4), 1406–1423.
- Golay, A., Allaz, A. F., Morel, Y., de Tonnac, N., Tankova, S., & Reaven, G. (1996). Similar weight loss with low- or high-carbohydrate diets. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 63(2), 174–178.
- Goodman-Bacon, A. (2021). Difference-in-Differences with Variation in Treatment Timing. *Journal of Econometrics*, 225(2), 254–277.
- Guthold, R., Stevens, G. A., Riley, L. M., & Bull, F. C. (2018). Worldwide Trends in Insufficient Physical Activity from 2001 to 2016: A Pooled Analysis of 358 Population-Based Surveys with 1.9 Million Participants. *The Lancet Global Health*, 6(10), e1077–e1086.
- Herforth, A., Arimond, M., Álvarez-Sánchez, C., Coates, J., Christianson, K., & Muehlhoff, E. (2019). A Global Review of Food-Based Dietary Guidelines. *Advances in Nutrition*, 10(4), 590–605.
- High blood pressure (hypertension) Symptoms and causes. (2021). Mayo Clinic. Retrieved 2021, from https: //www.mayoclinic.org/diseases-conditions/high-blood-pressure/symptoms-causes/syc-20373410
- History of Canada's food guides from 1942 to 2007. (2019).
- Hunt, C. L. (1916). Food for Young Children (No. 717). U.S. Dept. of Agriculture.
- IDF Diabetes Atlas, 10th edn. (2021). International Diabetes Federation. Retrieved 2021, from https://www.diabetesatlas.org
- *Indicators*. (2021). World Health Organization. Retrieved 2021, from https://www.who.int/data/gho/data/indicators
- Jaret, P. (1997). The Man Behind the Mediterranean [magazine]. *Eating Well*, 40–49.

- Johnston, C. S., Tjonn, S. L., Swan, P. D., White, A., Hutchins, H., & Sears, B. (2006). Ketogenic low-carbohydrate diets have no metabolic advantage over nonketogenic low-carbohydrate diets. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 83(5), 1055–1061.
- Keller, S. K., & Schulz, P. J. (2011). Distorted Food Pyramid in Kids Programmes: A Content Analysis of Television Advertising Watched in Switzerland. *The European Journal of Public Health*, 21(3), 300–305.
- Kendrick, M. (2008). The Great Cholesterol Con: The Truth About What Really Causes Heart Disease and How to Avoid It. John Blake.
- Keys, A. (1970). Coronary heart disease in seven countries. *Circulation*, 41(1), 186–195.
- Keys, A. (1984). Serum Cholesterol Response to Dietary Cholesterol. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 40(2), 351–359.
- Keys, A. (1953). Atherosclerosis: A Problem in Newer Public Health. *Journal of Mt Sinai Hospital*, 20(2), 118–139.
- Keys, A., Menotti, A., Aravanis, C., Blackburn, H., Djordevič, B. S., Buzina, R., Dontas, A., Fidanza, F., Karvonen, M. J., Kimura, N., Mohaček, I., Nedeljkovič, S., Puddu, V., Punsar, S., Taylor, H. L., Conti, S., Kromhout, D., & Toshima, H. (1984). The Seven Countries Study: 2,289 Deaths in 15 Years. *Preventive Medicine*, 13(2), 141–154.
- Keys, A. B., & Keys, M. (1975). How to Eat Well and Stay Well the Mediterranean Way (1st ed.). Doubleday.
- La Berge, A. F. (2007). How the Ideology of Low Fat Conquered America. *Journal of the History of Medicine* and Allied Sciences, 63(2), 139–177.
- Lee, Y. N., & Kim, E. S. (1998). The Changes and Suggestions in Korean Dietary Guideline. *Korean Journal of Community Nutrition*, 3(5), 748–758.
- Mann, G., Shaffer, R., Anderson, R., Sandstead, H., Prendergast, H., Mann, J., Rose, S., Powell-Jackson, J., Moitanik, S., Ol Monah, J., Isaac, S., Msangi, O. H., Frank, E., Martin, J., Lane, J., Rasmussen, I., & Dicks, K. (1964). Cardiovascular Disease in the Masai. *Journal of Atherosclerosis Research*, 4(4), 289–312.
- McManus, K., Antinoro, L., & Sacks, F. (2001). A randomized controlled trial of a moderate-fat, low-energy diet compared with a low fat, low-energy diet for weight loss in overweight adults. *International Journal of Obesity*, 25(10), 1503–1511.
- Michaels, P. J., Kealey, T., & Burrus, T. (Eds.). (2019). Larding the Science; The Dietary Fat Fiasco. In *Scientocracy: The Tangled Web of Public Science and Public Policy* (pp. 41–63). Cato Institute.
- More Key Topics. (2021). MyPlate U.S. Department of Agriculture. Retrieved 2021, from https://www.myplate.gov/eat-healthy/more-key-topics
- Nestle, M. (1993). Food Lobbies, the Food Pyramid, and U.S. Nutrition Policy. *International Journal of Health Services*, 23(3), 483–496.

- Oliver, E. J. (2005). Fat Politics: The Real Story behind America's Obesity Epidemic (Illustrated edition). Oxford University Press.
- Painter, J., Rah, J.-H., & Lee, Y.-K. (2002). Comparison of International Food Guide Pictorial Representations. *Journal of the American Dietetic Association*, 102(4), 483–489.
- Prevalence of overweight, obesity and extreme obesity among adults: United States, trends 1976-80 through 2005-2006. (2008). National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey.
- Ravnskov, U. (2002). A Hypothesis Out-of-Date: The Diet-Heart Idea. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*, 55, 1057–1063.
- Ravnskov, U., de Lorgeril, M., Diamond, D. M., Hama, R., Hamazaki, T., Hammarskjöld, B., Hynes, N., Kendrick, M., Langsjoen, P. H., Mascitelli, L., McCully, K. S., Okuyama, H., Rosch, P. J., Schersten, T., Sultan, S., & Sundberg, R. (2018). Ldl-C Does Not Cause Cardiovascular Disease: A Comprehensive Review of the Current Literature. Expert Review of Clinical Pharmacology, 11(10), 959–970.
- Ravnskov, U., Diamond, D. M., Hama, R., Hamazaki, T., Hammarskjöld, B., Hynes, N., Kendrick, M., Langsjoen, P. H., Malhotra, A., Mascitelli, L., McCully, K. S., Ogushi, Y., Okuyama, H., Rosch, P. J., Schersten, T., Sultan, S., & Sundberg, R. (2016). Lack of an Association or an Inverse Association Between Low-Density-Lipoprotein Cholesterol and Mortality in the Elderly: A Systematic Review. BMJ Open, 6(6), e010401.
- Renaud, S., & de Lorgeril, M. (1992). Wine, alcohol, platelets, and the French paradox for coronary heart disease. *The Lancet*, 339(8808), 1523–1526.
- Sacks, F. M., Bray, G. A., Carey, V. J., Smith, S. R., Ryan, D. H., Anton, S. D., McManus, K., Champagne,
 C. M., Bishop, L. M., Laranjo, N., Leboff, M. S., Rood, J. C., de Jonge, L., Greenway, F. L., Loria,
 C. M., Obarzanek, E., & Williamson, D. A. (2009). Comparison of Weight-Loss Diets with Different Compositions of Fat, Protein, and Carbohydrates. New England Journal of Medicine, 360(9),
 859–873.
- Saeedi, P., Petersohn, I., Salpea, P., Malanda, B., Karuranga, S., Unwin, N., Colagiuri, S., Guariguata, L., Motala, A. A., Ogurtsova, K., Shaw, J. E., Bright, D., & Williams, R. (2019). Global and Regional Diabetes Prevalence Estimates for 2019 and Projections for 2030 and 2045: Results from the International Diabetes Federation Diabetes Atlas, 9th Edition. *Diabetes Research and Clinical Practice*, 157.
- Seshadri, P., Iqbal, N., Stern, L., Williams, M., Chicano, K. L., Daily, D. A., McGrory, J., Gracely, E. J., Rader, D. J., & Samaha, F. F. (2004). A Randomized Study Comparing the Effects of a Low-Carbohydrate Diet and a Conventional Diet on Lipoprotein Subfractions and C-Reactive Protein Levels in Patients with Severe Obesity. *The American Journal of Medicine*, 117(6), 398–405.

- Shai, I., Schwarzfuchs, D., Henkin, Y., Shahar, D. R., Witkow, S., Greenberg, I., Golan, R., Fraser, D., Bolotin, A., Vardi, H., Tangi-Rozental, O., Zuk-Ramot, R., Sarusi, B., Brickner, D., Schwartz, Z., Sheiner, E., Marko, R., Katorza, E., Thiery, J., . . . Stampfer, M. J. (2008). Weight Loss with a Low-Carbohydrate, Mediterranean, or Low-Fat Diet. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 359(3), 229–241.
- Siri-Tarino, P. W., Sun, Q., Hu, F. B., & Krauss, R. M. (2010). Meta-Analysis of Prospective Cohort Studies Evaluating the Association of Saturated Fat with Cardiovascular Disease. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 91(3), 535–546.
- Sleiman, D., Al-Badri, M. R., & Azar, S. T. (2015). Effect of Mediterranean Diet in Diabetes Control and Cardiovascular Risk Modification: A Systematic Review. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *3*, 69.
- Sofi, F., Abbate, R., Gensini, G. F., & Casini, A. (2010). Accruing Evidence on Benefits of Adherence to the Mediterranean Diet on Health: An Updated Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 92(5), 1189–1196.
- Syrett, C. (2015). The New Healthy Eating Pyramid: Reinforcing the Foundation of Healthy Eating. *Victorian Journal of Home Economics*, 54(1), 26–39.
- Taubes, G. (2001). The Soft Science of Dietary Fat. Science, 291(5513), 2536–2545.
- Taubes, G. (2008). *Good calories, bad calories: Fats, carbs, and the controversial science of diet and health.* Anchor.
- Taubes, G. (2011). Why We Get Fat: And What to Do About It (First Anchor Books edition). Alfred A. Knopf.
- Toubro, S., & Astrup, A. (1997). Randomised comparison of diets for maintaining obese subjects' weight after major weight loss: Ad lib, low fat, high carbohydrate diet v fixed energy intake. *BMJ*: *British Medical Journal*, 314(7073), 29–34.
- USDA's Food Guide Pyramid. (1992). U.S. Department of Agriculture.
- Willett, W. C., Sacks, F., Trichopoulou, A., Drescher, G., Ferro-Luzzi, A., Helsing, E., & Trichopoulos, D. (1995). Mediterranean diet pyramid: A cultural model for healthy eating. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 61, 1402S–1406S.
- Xu, Z., McClure, S. T., & Appel, L. J. (2018). Dietary Cholesterol Intake and Sources among U.S Adults: Results from National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES), 2001–2014. *Nutrients*, 10(6), 771.
- Zhou, S.-S., Li, D., Zhou, Y.-M., Sun, W.-P., & Liu, Q.-G. (2010). B-vitamin consumption and the prevalence of diabetes and obesity among the US adults: Population based ecological study. *BMC Public Health*, 10(1).

Acknowledgements

I thank Dr. Adam Martin (Texas Tech University), Dr. Kevin Grier (Texas Tech University), and Dr. Ray March (North Dakota State University) for their helpful comments and suggestions, and Towhid Mahmood (Texas Tech University) for his careful reading of the draft and his insightful comments. All errors are my own.